Appendix 2b

CLES were asked to investigate the whole supply chain (beyond the top 300 which is the scope of our main report for phase 1) because of a concern that our approach was underestimating local spend. The findings of this can be seen below:

Spend with suppliers by geography (additional analysis)

The figures in the main report were generated through a detailed process of redacting some organisations (such as employee pension payments and certain grants), searching for local and regional postcodes when the initial analysis found suppliers to be based outside the region, and combining values where there were duplicate records for suppliers (where the name might be spelled differently).

CLES were asked to carry out a quick analysis on the entire supply chain, (the top 300 suppliers and the remainder of the supply chain), the suppliers with a spend of less than £193,000. The figures in the table below are for the entire supply chain in financial year 2017/18 – this comprised 4,580 suppliers, with a total spend of £335,966,040.

Please note that CLES did not go through the same data cleansing process as the figures quoted in the main report – this is due to resource constraints, and this should be acknowledged when quoting these figures. For the below analysis, we did remove suppliers noted as SB, DP and individuals who were listed (as these payments were for fostering or those in receipt of benefits).

Spend by geography (entire supply chain)

Geography	Spend	Proportion of total spend in 2017/18
Kirklees	£149,495,956	44%
West Yorkshire (including Kirklees)	£225,452,577	67%
Yorkshire and the Humber	£247,854,209	74%

- The proportion of spend in Kirklees is the same for the top 300 and the entire supply chain;
- The proportion of spend in West Yorkshire (including Kirklees) is lower for the entire supply chain (67%) than for the top 300 suppliers (69%);
- The proportion of spend in Yorkshire and the Humber is lower for the entire supply chain (74%) than for the top 300 suppliers (76%).